

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot. 1450 Alexadria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USPIO.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/920,990	08/03/2001	Vlad Iorgulescu Avram	T8466417US	6128
7:	590 03/04/2005		EXAM	INER
Peter Milne			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
Gowling Laflet	ir Henderson LLP			
Suite 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Commerce Court West			1744	
Toronto, ON M5L 1J3		DATE MAN CO 02/04/2005		
CANADA		DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,990	AVRAM, VLAD IORGULES	SCU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicatio	on.
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 December 2004		
	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice un			•
Disposition of Claims	1	·	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> is/are pending in th	• •		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	idrawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement		
	1		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
			
Attachment(s)	🗖		
) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
) UNOTICE of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949	3) Paper No(s	J/Maii Dale	
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Confirmation of the telephonic election is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al., in view of White et al., U.S. patent No. 5,653,919 and Monte, Jr. U.S. patent No. 5,508,685.

Murayama et al., teach a system for controlling olfactory stimuli used in conjunction with multimedia events such as films or personal computer games. The system can be connected to a personal computer having a keyboard (column 4, lines63-68). It can also connected to an air conditioner and employ input control based on temperature (see column 6, lines 1-20). The scent may be released in a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 09/920,990

Art Unit: 1744

configurations including aerosol or thermal release means (column 7, line 10 through column 8, line 5), the thermal release means being inclusive of a heated scroll mechanism. The system is also configured to account for the humidity of the surrounding environment (column 8, lines 53-64).

White et al., teach the conventionality of humidification of controlled atmospheres utilizing a water mist producer for conditioning thereof.

Monte, Jr. teaches the provision of a water mist and a released scent in modifying an atmosphere in response to a specific stimulus. See column 2, lines 10-20, and lines 55-68, and column 3, lines 1-17.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to include water mist producing means in the apparatus of Murayama et al., because of the conventionality of providing such means when conditioning an atmosphere as supported by White et al., and because of the recognized compatibility in a controlled, responsive system, of mist production and scent release as demonstrated in Monte, Jr.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al., as applied to claims 1-6 and 8-16 above, and further in view of Bartsch et al., U.S. patent No. 6,581,915 B2.

Bartsch et al., teach the application of any atmosphere modifying agent in a controlled substance generation and release system (see column 6, lines 54-60).

It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the system of Murayama et al., for the generation of any atmosphere modifying

Art Unit: 1744

agent such as an insecticide or antibacterial, as taught in Bartsch et al., because the system affords an optimal means of delivering and dispersing such agents to atmosphere's requiring treatment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,990

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

March 2, 2005